



## **Upper Atiamuri West**

717
Atiamuri
Wildland Consultants (2014a)
1:5,000
WRAPS Ltd 2012
Waikato
Not identified as a site in Shaw and Beadel (1998)
Unprotected
<0.1 ha
<i>c</i> .240 m
Lowland
NZTM E1866297, N5749798; E1866338, N5749636

VEGETA	TION	LANDFORM	EXTENT
CODE	ТҮРЕ		LAILNI
1	Blackberry scrub	Geothermal pit	<0.1 ha
	This area comprises a tomo with a geothermal spring at the base		
	(2 m wide and 2.5 m deep). Dense blackberry in association		
	with Himalayan honeysuckle and broom surround the tomo, and		
	scattered Hypolepis distans occurs on the sides of the tomo. A		
	sinter deposit which extends c.1 m into the tomo is also present.		
2	Polygonum maculosa herbfield	Quenched	<0.1 ha
	A small fumarole (0.3 m diameter) surrounded by a dense patch	fumarole;	
	of Polygonum maculosa, with smaller patches of black	geothermal pit	
	nightshade (Solanum nigrum) and occasional Yorkshire fog.		

- **Indigenous Flora:** No threatened or at risk plant species as listed in de Lange *et al.* 2013 have been recorded from this site. However, *Hypolepis distans*, a characteristic species of geothermal wetlands is present.
- Fauna:No threatened or at risk bird species as listed in Robertson *et al.* 2013 have<br/>been recorded from this site. Common indigenous and introduced bird species<br/>typical of the habitat are likely to be present.
- **Notes on Overall** The geothermal sites are fenced, but are dominated by exotic plant species. **Condition:**

Change Relative to Unknown Shaw and Beadel (1998):

Threats/Modification/<br/>Vulnerability:Blackberry, Himalayan honeysuckle, and broom dominate the site, together<br/>comprising over 90% of the vegetation cover. The geothermal areas are fenced<br/>from stock. The site is surrounded by farmland.

**Risk Assessment:** Pest plants: Risk to site - low; Timeframe - low.

Significance Level: Local (Table 1 - Criterion 5; Table 2 - Factor 19).

SignificanceUpper Atiamuri WestJustification:uncommon habitat ty

Upper Atiamuri West is of local significance because it contains a nationally uncommon habitat type (fumaroles; Williams et al. 2007; Holdaway et al. 2012). However the geothermal features are very small and highly modified,



with few indigenous species present.

Field Work Required:	This site is a high priority for field survey. Inspection of 2012 aerial photographs indicates that additional areas of geothermal activity may be present in the gully west/northwest of the mapped area.
Notes:	A geophysical assessment of the surface geothermal manifestations at this site is presented in Appendix 4 (Wildland Consultants 2014a). This assessment was undertaken in 2007.
References:	Hochstein (2007a); Wildland Consultants (2004c, 2007a 2012 & 2014).

