

Upper Kaituna

Site Number: SNA678
Ecological District: Otanewainuku

Source of Information: Shaw and Beadel (1998)

Digital Scale:1:2,000Data Source:RDAM 2006Regional Council:Bay of Plenty

1998 Site Number: Part of Kaituna River (NHS No. 667) in Shaw and Beadel

(1998).

Current Tenure: Unprotected
Site Area: 70.2 ha
Altitude Range: 200-340 m
Bioclimatic Zone: Semi-coastal

Grid Reference: NZTM E1893563, N5788830

| VEGETATION | | LANDFORM | EXTENT |
|------------|--|-----------|---------|
| CODE | TYPE | LANDFORM | EATENI |
| 1 | Tawa-rewarewa forest ≒ kamahi forest ≒ secondary scrub | Hillslope | 70.2 ha |

Indigenous Flora: No threatened or at risk species, as listed in de Lange *et al.* (2009), have

been recorded from this site.

Fauna: No threatened or at risk species as listed in Hitchmough *et al.* (2007) or

Miskelly et al. (2008) have been recorded from this site. Forest birds

include kereru, tui, and grey warbler.

Notes on Overall

Condition:

Modified by historic fires. Subject to damage during management of

neighbouring forestry operations.

Change Relative to Shaw and Beadel

(1998):

Unknown, probably little change.

Threats/Modification/

Vulnerability:

Surrounded by exotic pines. Potential threats include damage during logging of adjacent pines and subsequent preparation of adjacent land for

replanting.

Risk Assessment: Forestry operations: Risk to site - low; Timeframe - low.

Significance Level: Regional (Appendix 4 - Table 1 - Criteria 1, 9, 11; Table 2 - Factors R8,

R17).

Significance This site is of regional significance as it good-sized example of indigenous

Justification: vegetation which complements other natural areas alongside the Kaituna

River and its tributaries, and provides seasonal habitat for kereru, a notable

bird species.

Fieldwork Required: No fieldwork required to assess natural area boundaries or significance, but

fieldwork required to update management and biodiversity information.

Notes: This site was ranked as a Category 3 site in the Otanewainuku ED PNAP

survey (Beadel 2006).

References: Beadel (2006); Shaw and Beadel (1998).



