

Kapukapu Road

Site Number: SNA662 Ecological District: Otanewainuku

Source of Information: Shaw and Beadel (1998)

Digital Scale: 1:2,000 **Data Source: RDAM 2006 Regional Council:** Bay of Plenty 1998 Site Number: NHS No. 662 **Current Tenure:** Unprotected 97.0 ha Site Area: Altitude Range: 330-400 m **Bioclimatic Zone:** Lowland

Grid Reference: NZTM E1885588, N5790578

VEGETATION		LANDFORM	EXTENT
CODE	TYPE	LANDFORM	EATENI
1	(Rewarewa)/tawa-(mangeao)-(pukatea) forest (with scattered	Rolling	97.0 ha
	rimu; kotukutuku, porokaiwhiri, mahoe, makomako and wheki	hillslopes	
	are common in the subcanopy; scattered mamaku, pole rimu and	_	
	miro also present).		

Indigenous Flora: No threatened or at risk species, as listed in de Lange *et al.* (2009), have

been recorded from this site.

Fauna: No threatened or at risk species, as listed in Hitchmough et al. (2007) or

Miskelly et al. (2008), have been recorded from this site.

Notes on Overall Condition:

Modified tall forest, previously logged for podocarps, isolated by clearance

of surrounding land and its conversion to pasture.

Change Relative to Shaw and Beadel

(1998):

Unknown - probably little change.

Threats/Modification/

Vulnerability:

Two of these areas are fenced and not grazed whilst the other areas are grazed by cattle. Domestic deer occasionally stray into the fenced area from

neighbouring properties (Shaw and Beadel 1998).

Risk Assessment: Information relevant to the management of the ecological values of the site

is out of date.

Grazing (e.g. deer): Risk to site - medium; Timeframe - medium.

Significance Level: Local (Appendix 4 - Table 1 - Criteria 1, 8; Table 2 - Factor L1).

Significance The site is of local significance as it contains indigenous species

Justification: associations typical of the Otanewainuku Ecological District.

Fieldwork Required: No fieldwork required to assess significance, however biodiversity and

management information is lacking and fieldwork is required to update this.

Notes: Several indigenous forest remnants, parts of which are fenced to exclude

stock. These remnants are visually prominent and are an important

landscape feature.

References: Shaw and Beadel (1998)



