

Waterfall Road Wetland

Site Number: SNA304 Ecological District: Rotorua Lakes

Source of Information: Shaw and Beadel (1998)

Digital Scale: 1:2,000 RDAM 2006 **Data Source: Regional Council:** Bay of Plenty

PNAP # 215; PNA # 304 1998 Site Number:

Current Tenure: Private 3.3 ha Site Area: **Altitude Range:** 150-160 m **Bioclimatic Zone:** Semi-coastal

Grid Reference: NZTM E1910589, N5772640

VEGETATION		LANDFORM	EXTENT
CODE	TYPE	LANDFORM	EXIENI
1	Raupo reedland	Wetland	1.9 ha
2	Unidentified vegetation.	Wetland	1.4 ha

Indigenous Flora: No threatened or at risk species as listed in de Lange et al. (2009) are known

> from this site. Species present include swamp millet, Carex virgata, Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani, swamp kiokio, Cyperus ustulatus, Lemna minor, manuka, ti kouka, and Coprosma propingua subsp. propingua.

Fauna: Common wetland bird species utilise this site. This site contains suitable

habitat for several threatened and at risk species as listed in Miskelly et al. (2008), including spotless crake ('At Risk - Relict') and Australasian bittern ('Threatened - Nationally Critical'), although none were recorded during the

field inspection of Shaw and Beadel (1998).

Notes on Overall

Condition:

Small relatively unmodified wetland with few pest plants present.

Change Relative to Shaw and Beadel

(1998):

Unknown. Probably little change.

Threats/Modification/

Vulnerability:

Few pest plants are present at this site and the area is not grazed by stock. The most significant threat to this site is spray drift when surrounding pine

plantations are topdressed.

Unknown. Risk Assessment:

Regional (Appendix 4 - Table 1 - Criteria 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 12, 13; Table 2 -Significance Level:

Factors R4, R6, R9)

Significance This site is of regional significance as it is a small good quality example of a **Justification:**

wetland with few pest plants. Wetlands are a much reduced habitat type

nationally, with less than 10% of the original cover remaining.

Fieldwork Required: No fieldwork required to assess significance, but fieldwork required to

update biodiversity and management information.

Notes: None

References: Shaw and Beadel (1998); Beadel et al. (1998).



