

 <p>ROTORUA LAKES COUNCIL Te kaunihera o ngā roto o Rotorua</p>	<h2>Submission form</h2> <h3>PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 4 TO THE ROTORUA DISTRICT PLAN</h3> <p><i>Form 5 - Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991</i></p>	<p>Submission number <i>Office use only</i></p>
---	---	---

Instructions: Email anita.galland@rotorualc.nz with 'Proposed Plan Change 4 to the Rotorua District Plan Submission' in the subject line
OR
Post to: The Chief Executive, Rotorua Lakes Council, Private Bag 3029, Rotorua 3046
OR
Deliver to Rotorua Lakes Council, 1061 Haupapa Street, Rotorua

CLOSING DATE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 5:00PM ON TUESDAY 18TH JULY 2017

Full Name Of Submitter:	Rotorua International Airport Limited	Address For Service: [Agent if applicable]	c/- Lara Burkhardt Holland Beckett Lawyers Private Bag 12011 Tauranga
Full Postal Address:	See Address for Service		
Telephone No:	Email:	Telephone No: 07 578 2199	Email: lara.burkhardt@hobec.co.nz
Mobile Phone:		Mobile Phone:	

Disclaimer: Please note your submission will be available on Council's website. Please advise if you do not want your details to be made public.

I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Signature of submitter

Date 18 July 2017

(NOTE: A signature is not required if you make an electronic submission on behalf of submitter)

For any enquiries please call Kate Dahm, Senior RMA Policy Advisor on (07) 348-4199 or on (07) 351-8301

THIS IS A SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 4 TO THE ROTORUA DISTRICT PLAN:

PROVISION	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	SUBMISSION	DECISION SOUGHT FROM THE COUNCIL
<i>Please refer to the rule number or heading reference</i>	<i>Clearly indicate whether you support, oppose or support with amendment the provision</i>	<i>Include the nature of your submission and reasons for your views. You may use additional paper but please ensure you put your name and address on each page, and securely attach them to this form.</i>	<i>State clearly the decision sought and/or suggested changes you want the council to make in relation to the provision.</i>
Entire plan change	Support with amendment	<p>The submitter owns and operates the Rotorua Airport.</p> <p>The submitter made a submission dated 1 December 2016 on Proposed Plan Change 1 (PC1). PC1 also addresses the management and control of activities sensitive to aircraft noise, however submissions are yet to be heard and determined by Council.</p> <p>Given the overlap between PC1 and this plan change, the submitter adopts the reasons and relief for its submission on PC1.</p>	<p>Retain the plan change with amendment to ensure consistency with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The outcomes sought by the submitter on PC1 including, but not limited to, inclusion of a new objective and policy stream for the Airport (as proposed in PC1) and complete (and correct) coverage of all relevant rules for the Airport Noise Control Contours, including for subdivision; • The existing plan provisions for the Airport, in particular A7 Airport Noise and Development Controls; and • The specific requests for amendments set out in all parts of this submission. <p>Similar and / or consequential amendments that would satisfactorily address the matters raised in all parts of this submission.</p>
<p>A11.1 Introduction</p> <p>A11.2 Key Environmental Issues</p> <p>A11.4 Environmental Outcomes</p>	Support with amendment	<p>In order to achieve one of the key objects of the plan change to consolidate the noise provisions in one chapter, the Airport is concerned to ensure that the issue of reverse sensitivity in respect of the Airport is appropriately and consistency dealt with in the District Plan.</p>	<p>Amend the introductory statement (A11.1), issues (A11.2) and outcomes (A11.4) to better reflect the issue of reverse sensitivity as it relates the Airport and to recognise the existing provision of Airport Noise Contour Controls including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amending Issue 2 to remove reference to “<i>the Airport and surrounding Residential and Rural Zones</i>” as this is not an example of activities within one zone that detract from the amenity of adjacent zones; and • Deleting or amending Issue 6.

PROVISION	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	SUBMISSION	DECISION SOUGHT FROM THE COUNCIL
Objectives 11.3.1 and 11.3.2 and associated polices	Support with amendment	The submitter generally supports the new objective and policy streams, however considers it appropriate to strengthen these provisions, or add new ones, to address the issue of reverse sensitivity in respect of the Airport and to recognise the existing provision of Airport Noise Contour Controls.	<p>Amend Objectives 11.3.1 and 11.3.2 and their associated polices, or introduce a new objective and policy stream, to specifically address the issue of reverse sensitivity effects in respect of the Airport consistent with the existing provision of Airport Noise Contour Controls and that proposed for PC1 including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adding objectives that <i>“The Airport is protected from reverse sensitivity effects”</i> and <i>“The adverse effects of aircraft noise on residential and other activities sensitive to aircraft noise are avoided, remedied or mitigated”</i>; and • Adding policies that specifically relate to each of the Airport Noise Control Contours. <p>Consequential and/or appropriate amendments to the rules that follow from this relief including, but not limited to, amending and/or introducing provisions relating to the Outer Control Area.</p>
<p>A11.5 Rules – Table A11.5.2: Airport Noise Contour Controls – Additions within Inner Noise Area</p> <p>A11.7 Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p>A7 Airport Noise and Development Controls</p>	Support with amendment	<p>The submitter generally supports the intent of the plan change to provide a more permissive planning framework for additions to existing noise sensitive activities within the Inner Noise Area.</p> <p>However, the submitter is not able to support the Council’s proposed limits for intensification, and corresponding activity status, which appear arbitrary and lack any detailed analysis.</p> <p>Instead, the submitter would support an additional standard or criteria requiring that the entire building envelope be bought up to standard, not just the extension.</p>	<p>Retain the status quo; or</p> <p>Amend A11.5.2 to provide a more permissive planning framework for additions to existing noise sensitive activities within the Inner Noise Area where the entire building envelope is bought up to standard, not just the extension.</p> <p>Consequential and/or appropriate amendments to A11.7 Restricted Discretionary Activities and/or A7 Airport Noise and Development Controls.</p>

PROVISION	SUPPORT / OPPOSE	SUBMISSION	DECISION SOUGHT FROM THE COUNCIL
A11.5 Rules – Table A11.5.2: Airport Noise Contour Controls – Air Noise Area	Support	The submitter supports the correction to the part of the rule table for the Air Noise Area on the basis that there are no CM3 or BI3 zoned properties within the Air Noise Area.	Retain “NA” for activities in the CM3 and BI3 zones for the Air Noise Area.
A11.5 Rules – Table A11.5.2: Airport Noise Contour Controls – Subdivision	Oppose	The submitter is concerned to ensure that the plan change capture all relevant rules as they relate to the Airport Noise Contour Controls in one chapter. For this reason, subdivision should be included.	Amend A11.5 to consolidate (and amend as appropriate) the rules for subdivision of land within the Airport Noise Contour Controls. Consequential and/or appropriate amendments to applicable standards or criteria to ensure that the future use of any subdivided land within the Airport Noise Contour Controls is able to be appropriately managed and controlled.
Definition of “noise sensitive activities”	Support	The submitter supports the rationale for providing a consolidated definition of the term “noise sensitive activities” and deleting the existing definition for the term “activities sensitive to aircraft noise”.	Retain the definition of “noise sensitive activities”.
Definition of “noise level”	Support with amendment	The submitter has identified that Advice Note 2 to the definition of “noise level” should also include reference to the Commercial 3 zone.	Amend Advice Note 2 to the definition of “noise level” to include reference to the Commercial 3 zone.