IN THE DISTRICT COURT / I TE KŌTI Ā ROHE ROTORUA / TE ROTORUA-NUI-A-KAHUMATAMOMOE

CIV-2024-063-000364

UNDER

THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2002

IN THE MATTER

of an application for an injunction to restrain commission

of an offence under s162

BETWEEN

ROTORUA DISTRICT COUNCIL

Applicant

AND

ROTOKAKAHI BOARD OF CONTROL

First Respondent

AND

PERSONS UNKNOWN

Second Respondents

AFFIDAVIT OF BADEN JAMES NEYLON IN SUPPORT OF AN APPLICATION FOR AN INJUNCTION

Affirmed:

September 2024

TOMPKINS WAKE

Kate Cornegé (kate.cornege@tompkinswake.co.nz)
Wendy Embling (wendy.embling@tompkinswake.co.nz)

Counsel: Phillip Cornegé Phillip@riverbank.co.nz Westpac House Level 8 430 Victoria Street PO Box 258 DX GP 20031 Hamilton 3240 New Zealand Ph: (07) 839 4771 tompkinswake.com

233086 3436-6291-9215-V5

1

AFFIDAVIT OF BADEN JAMES NEYLON IN SUPPORT OF AN APPLICATION FOR

AN INJUNCTION

I, BADEN JAMES NEYLON, of Cambridge, operations manager, affirm -

1. I am employed as an operations manager by Universal Underground Ltd

(UUL). UUL specialises in underground utility installation by way of

directional drilling, pipe jacking, micro tunnelling and cable laying.

2. I have acted in my capacity as operations manager in relation to UUL's

contribution to Stage One of the Tarawera Reticulation Scheme, which

involves the installation of a reticulation pipeline along Tarawera Road.

3. The current work site is located on Tarawera Road next to Lake

Rotokakāhi, where the last section of pipeline is being installed under one

lane of Tarawera Road. One lane of Tarawera Road is closed for about

400 metres and has been fenced off with security fencing along the edge

of the closed lane (the work site).

4. UUL was sub-contracted by civil engineering company Fulton Hogan (FH)

to conduct drilling operations along Tarawera Road. UUL was engaged to

conduct 24 hour works over the week of the 26 to 30 August 2024 in order

to expedite the timetable of the works.

Incidents on 26 August 2024

5. On 26 August 2024 at around 5.30pm, I attended the work site situated

adjacent to the boat ramp used to access Lake Rotokakāhi along Tarawera

Road.

6. I was aware of the protests being held against the installation of

wastewater infrastructure and had been briefed by FH staff as to the

security steps taken to protect the site from protestor interference. These

steps included a policy rule instructing all workers to let on-site security

engage with and deescalate any protestors where necessary.

233086 3436-6291-9215-V5 BN & -

2

7. Between 6:00pm and 7:00pm, UUL staff had fully established the site and

were ready to begin drilling operations. It was around this time that

protestors began approaching the work site.

8. At around 6:30pm, a group of 10 to 15 protestors arrived at the work site.

For some time, these protestors remained behind the security fencing at

the edge of the work site and were protesting in a manner that was

unobtrusive to the works.

9. At around 7:00pm, UUL staff began breaking ground using a digger. At

this point, the protest action escalated, and I witnessed the following:

(a) As a UUL staff member was operating a digger on site, protestors

began shining torches and spotlights into the operator's eyes. This

presented a risk of injury to the operator and to those workers

nearby on site.

(b) At around 7:30pm, a group of around 10 protestors breached the

security fence surrounding the work site and began to interfere

with spotlights used to illuminate the work area. The protestors

pulled out plugs and hit the spotlights' kill switches. This

continued until the works were forced to cease and created a

serious risk to workers, as the work site was often without light.

(c) Protestors outside of the work site also began to lean on and kick

over the security fences. This meant there was a risk of the fencing

being pushed or falling onto vehicles or other equipment.

(d) At around 9:00pm, a person (who I understood to be a kaumatua

of the protest group) accompanied by 10 to 15 protestors

breached the work site and demanded to speak with whoever was

in charge. The kaumatua said that we were trespassing on their

land and demanded to know what authority we had to conduct

the works. The other protestors were recording this conversation

on their cell phones. I did not answer.

233086 3436-6291-9215-V5

3

(e) Further protestors then arrived and breached the boundary of the

work site. By this stage, the security staff on site were out

numbered and a large group of protestors were hurling verbal

abuse and threats at myself and the other UUL workers present.

10. From this point, protestor numbers increased (in my estimate to around

60 people). I witnessed many of these protestors enter the work site

through gaps in the security fence that UUL had been utilising to access

the work site to set up our drilling equipment.

11. The protestors would wait for and follow myself and other UUL staff as

we exited the worksite to retrieve tools or otherwise from our vehicles.

On one of these trips, as I was walking from the worksite to my vehicle, a

protestor said, in a manner that was intentionally loud enough for me to

hear, that he "felt like grabbing his shotgun and blasting them [us]" (i.e.

us, the UUL workers).

12. I also overheard various threats of violence, including someone saying

that something bad was going to happen to me if we continued the works

and that over one hundred people were on their way to the site, and that

we would be in deep trouble when they arrived.

13. Protestors within the work site then began intentionally standing in the

way of UUL vehicles necessary to complete the drilling operation. At this

point, myself and other UUL workers were unable to leave the work site

as we had been boxed in by the protestors. We attempted to call for help,

however the lack of reception along Tarawera Road blocked our

messages and calls from being received.

Response

14. UUL ceased work at around 10:00pm because the protestors continued

to enter the work site and it was deemed too unsafe for us to continue to

operate. In order to leave the site, I was forced to explain to protestors

By

X

that UUL were leaving and ask that they allow myself and my team to exit the site, which they did.

Conclusion

- 15. Given the severity of the events that I have described in this affidavit, I do not have confidence that UUL workers and equipment will be safe on site as long as the protests continue. For this reason, I think that an injunction is necessary for UUL to return to site and complete the drilling works.
- 16. I have read the redacted incident reports annexed to the affidavit of Ross Dallaway made in support of this application and confirm that, where I have knowledge of the events recorded in those reports, they accurately record what I experienced on 26 August 2024.

Signature of Deponent:

BADEN JAMES NEYLON

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

Vernon Peter Woodhams Solicitor Cambridge

233086 3436-6291-9215-V5