# **ROTORUA DISTRICT COUNCIL**

- **REPORT TO:**David Hill (Independent Hearing**DATE:**8 October 2024Commissioner)
- FROM: Sean Grace (Consultant Planner)

# **SECTION 42A – COUNCIL OFFICERS REPORT**

#### NOTIFIED APPLICATION TO:

USE THE EXISTING SITE AND BUILDINGS FOR CONTRACTED EMERGENCY HOUSING.

APPLICANT:	TE TŪĀPAPA KURA KĀINGA – MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
APPLICANTS AGENT:	THE PROPERTY GROUP C/- ANGELA JONES
OPERATOR / CONSENT HOLDER	ASCOT ON FENTON C/- FRANK LIU
ADDRESS:	247 FENTON STREET & 12 TOKO STREET, ROTORUA
RESOURCE CONSENT NUMBER:	LU24-010188
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	LOT 5 DP 2851 AND LOT 16 DP 2851
APPLICATION STATUS:	NON-COMPLYING ACTIVITY
ZONE AND DISRICT PLAN OVERLAYS:	COMMERCIAL 4 – CITY ENTRANCEWAY ACCOMMODATION RESIDENTIAL 2 – HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL
REPORT:	SECTION 42A – COUNCIL OFFICERS' REPORT
NOTIFIED:	PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

# **SUMMARY**

- Te Tūāpapa Kura Kāinga Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (MHUD) is applying on behalf of the motel operator (the Applicant) under Section 88 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) through The Property Group (the Agent) to continue to use the subject site (the site) and existing Ascot on Fenton Motel buildings for contracted emergency housing (CEH) accommodation for a period of one year from the expiry of the existing resource consent (RC17887) on 15 December 2024, after which the site and existing buildings will revert back to tourist accommodation.
- 2. The CEH accommodation activity has been operating since 1 July 2021. RC17887 was granted on 15 December 2022, which retrospectively and prospectively consented the activity until 15 December 2024.
- 3. The application was lodged with Rotorua Lakes Council (**Council**) on 14 June 2024.
- 4. A request for further information (**RFI**) was issued to the Applicant on 3 September 2024 in accordance with section 92(1) of the RMA and, therefore, the application was placed on hold. A RFI response was received from the applicant's Agent on 23 September 2024.
- 5. The Applicant requested that the application be publicly notified. The application was publicly notified on 20 July 2024 along with six other CEH applications. Notice of the application was also served on the owners/occupiers of immediately adjacent properties and owners/occupiers of neighbouring properties, owners/occupiers of the subject site, as well as the following groups:
  - Rotorua Housing Taskforce
  - Te Pokapū
  - Ngāti Whakaue
  - Te Arawa Lakes Trust
  - Restore Rotorua Incorporated
  - Rotorua NZ
  - Bay of Plenty Regional Council
  - Waka Kotahi
  - Tuhourangi Tribal Authority
  - Ngati Wahiao
  - Te Komiti Nui o Ngati Whakaue
  - Whakarewarewa Living Village
  - Visions of a Helping Hand
  - Wera Aotearoa Charitable Trust
  - Emerge Aotearoa
  - New Zealand Police
- Of the 176 submissions received on all seven CEH applications, two submissions were specific to the subject site. The majority of submissions covered general matters across all seven CEH application sites. These general matters are covered in the Section 42A Overview Report (Overview Report).
- 7. The proposed activity has been assessed as a **Non-Complying Activity** pursuant to Rule COMZ-R1(1) and RESZ-R2(1) of the Operative Rotorua District Plan (**District Plan**) as the activity is not expressly provided for.

8. In my opinion, resource consent can be granted subject to the recommended conditions of consent contained in Appendix 1 of this report.

# **REPORT STATUS**

- 9. This is a report prepared under Section 42A of the RMA. It provides a site-specific assessment of the application. It should be read in conjunction with the Overview Report which addresses matters common to the seven applications made by MHUD for CEH.
- 10. This report is not a decision on the application. It provides opinions and assessments, which are, in turn, incorporated into the Overview Report. The Overview Report assesses matters common to all seven applications and records recommendations to the Independent Hearing Commissioner (**Commissioner**) on whether the applications should be granted or declined consent.
- 11. This report will be considered by the Commissioner in conjunction with all other evidence and submissions which have been received. The Commissioner will determine the weight to be given to this report and to any other evidence or submissions that are presented when making a decision.

# **REPORTING OFFICER**

- 12. This report has been prepared by Sean Grace. I am employed as a Senior Principal Planner at Boffa Miskell Limited. I hold a Bachelor of Geography degree from the University of Otago. I have approximately 19 years' planning experience, including processing numerous notified resource consent applications as a planner in Local Government, or as a consultant planner. I am a full member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.
- 13. I have read and complied with the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained in the Environment Court Practice Note 2014 in preparing this report. I agree to comply with it in presenting this report. The opinions and assessment within this report are within my area of expertise, except where I have stated my reliance on other identified evidence. I have considered all material facts that are known to me which might alter or detract from the opinions I express in this evidence.
- 14. In preparing this report I have relied on the following evidence:
  - The Overview Report prepared by Craig Batchelar, Planner and Director of Cogito Consulting Limited;
  - Expert advice from Matt Peacocke, Landscape Architect at Boffa Miskell Limited;
  - Expert advice from Rebecca Foy, Social Researcher and Director at Formative;
  - Expert advice from Natalie Hampson, Economist and Director at Savvy Consulting Limited.; and
  - Evidence from Lorelle Barry, Team Lead Planning, Consenting at Council.
- 15. This report records my assessment and recommendations along with the recommended Conditions of Consent, should the Commissioner determine that consent should be granted.

# THE SITE AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT

#### **Site Description**

- 16. I undertook a site visit on 8 August 2024.
- 17. The site is rectangular in shape and has frontage on both Fenton Street and Toko Street. It is comprised of two Records of Title, and each are located within different zones under the District Plan (the Commercial 4 Zone (**COMZ4**) and the Residential 2 Zone (**RESZ2**)). These details are set out below and shown in Figure 1.

District Plan Zone	Legal Description	Area (m²)
COMZ4	LOT 5 DP 2851	809
RESZ2	LOT 16 DP 2851	809
Total		1,618



Figure 1 – District Plan zones with the subject site outlined in red. The yellow is RESZ2, the purple is COMZ4 and the green is COMZ2.

- 18. Vehicular entrance and exit to the site are via Fenton Street, which is classified as an Urban Major Arterial Road (District Plan Map 206). A separate exit is provided on Toko Street.
- 19. The Applicant gives the following description of the site and motel in Section 4.1 of the application:

The subject site has frontage to Fenton Street along its eastern boundary and Toko Street along its western boundary. The site has its entrance and manager's unit located at the eastern edge. It is noted, the sites rear gate is now operational, which is an exit only gate to Toko Street at the sites western edge. Enabling a full "drivethrough" access and egress in a single direction from Fenton Street through to Toko Street.

The building within the eastern portion of the site is a two-storey block with six residential units, being units 1 to 6 located within the COMZ4. The communal laundry and drying space is located between the manager's unit and motel unit 1. This area is utilised by all occupants at Ascot on Fenton.

The building within the western portion of the site is a single-storey block with 8 ground floor residential units, being units 7 to 14, located in the RESZ2.

The existing buildings within the site are dedicated to the current CEH activity. The site has a total of 14 motel units and provides for a maximum of 43 occupants.

Each unit has its own carpark directly outside the unit it serves.

20. The motel has been in use as MHUD CEH since 1 July 2021.

#### **Surrounding Area**

- 21. The immediate surrounding environment is a mix of emergency housing, commercial, educational, residential and tourist accommodation land uses. Directly north and south of the site is another CEH provider<sup>1</sup>, one of the applications by MHUD to be considered and decided by the Commissioner.
- 22. In the wider context, south of the site, the majority of land use along the western side of Fenton Street is tourist accommodation, while land to the east of Fenton Street is commercial land use followed by Te Arawa Park Racecourse. West of the site is predominantly residential land use. North of the site is the city centre.



*Figure 2 – Activities within the immediate surrounding environment (red – subject site, pink – other CEH site, orange – tourist accommodation, purple – childcare centre).* 

### **Local Amenities and Social Infrastructure**

23. A supermarket, chemist, butcher, and fruit and vegetable shop are located opposite the site on the corner of Fenton Street and Ti Streets. The nearest pedestrian crossing on Fenton Street is located approximately 350m north of the site. Rotorua Central Mall is located approximately

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> RotoVegas Motel (located on two separate sites).

250m north, along Toko Street. St Johns Presbyterian Church is located on the corner of Victoria Street and Ranolf Street, approximately 600m west of the site.

- 24. Taiohi Turama Rotorua Centre for Youth is located 250m to the east, on Te Ngae Road. This reserve has a basketball court and indoor centre. Marist Saint Michaels Reserve is located on Te Ngae Road approximately 450m away, and the racecourse is around 500m away. These areas are across Fenton Street, so safe pedestrian access would be via the traffic lights at the Fenton Street/Amohau Street intersection. Puarenga Park is around 1500m away.
- 25. The nearest school is St Mary's Catholic School located on Carnot Street, approximately 800m south-west of the subject site. Rotorua Intermediate is located approximately 900m to the west and there is an early childhood centre located opposite at 16 Toko Street.
- 26. Overall, the site is well served with local amenities and social infrastructure within a 10-minute walking distance.

# **RECORD OF TITLE REVIEW**

27. The interests contained on the Records of Title are set out below. There are no interests that would restrict the CEH proposal from proceeding.

Record of Title	Legal Description	Interests
35134	Lot 5 Deposited Plan 2851 and Lot 16 Deposited Plan 2851	

# **CONSENT HISTORY**

#### **Existing Consents**

28. The following resource consents are listed on the property file.

Reference number	Date of issue	Details
RC1993116	11 January 1991	Land use consent to construct a motel complex that intrudes 2m into the required 5m front yard
No number	30 June 1994	Certificate of compliance to use the land for a motel
RC17887 (Existing CEH Consent)	15 December 2022	Resource consent to use the existing site and motel buildings for CEH

- 29. There is no intention, nor need, to surrender these consents. The existing CEH consent will expire on 15 December 2024.
- 30. If this application is granted, the CEH activity will continue until 15 December 2025. After 15 December 2025, the motel activity (tourist accommodation) will then recommence if no other application for an alternative use is lodged and granted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A copy of the lease agreement was not provided through the resource consent application process. Notwithstanding, this is seen as a private property matter, and any implication in terms of the CEH proposal sits with the landowner and the leaseholder.

#### **Existing CEH Consent**

- 31. An application for the existing CEH consent for Ascot on Fenton, RC17887, was lodged on 20 December 2021. The application was publicly notified jointly with 11 other applications for other sites in Rotorua. A 13th site was notified separately. Overall, 3,841 submissions were received from 350 submitters on all 13 applications. A joint hearing was opened on 18 October 2022 and was closed on 25 November 2022. Consent was granted for all 13 sites on 15 of December 2022. The 13 consents will expire on 15 December 2024.
- 32. Compliance monitoring of consent conditions has been undertaken by Council officers on 15 September 2023 and 15 February 2024. The officers noted that the site manager had a good understanding of their obligations under the current resource consent and had all relevant documents on-site. The evidence of Ms Barry sets out in detail the frequency and nature of site visits and inspections by Council officers.
- 33. At the time of the Council officers' site visit, it was identified that the conditions of consent appeared to be complied with. It was recorded under Condition 7 of RC17887 that there has been a total of seven police callouts to this site. Six callouts were recorded between 16 December 2022 and 16 June 2023, and one callout between 17 June 2023 and 16 December 2024. The evidence of Ms Barry sets out in detail the compliance history of the site.
- 34. Additional off-site checks were completed for this site on 10 April 2024 and 16 April 2024. This involved a visual inspection from the roadside by Council officers. One shopping trolley was recorded as present on the berm outside the adjoining motel on the first visit but was not present during the second visit.

# **PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION**

- 35. The Applicant is seeking resource consent to continue to use the subject site and existing buildings for CEH for a period of one year from the expiry of RC17887 on 15 December 2024, extending the use of the site as CEH through to 15 December 2025.
- 36. The Applicant proposes no physical alterations to the existing buildings or any change to the operation of the CEH from that which was granted resource consent in December 2022.
- 37. The CEH will be managed in accordance with the existing Site Management Plan (**SMP**) prepared for the site, as required by Condition 21 of RC17887. A copy of the existing SMP has been provided with the application.
- 38. The application is for a maximum of 43 occupants (excluding staff) accommodated in 14 units. This maximum occupancy represents no change from the existing maximum occupancy of the CEH as it currently operates. Full-time management and supervision will be provided, with managers' accommodation located on-site.
- 39. On-site support services will continue to be provided by "Wera Aotearoa Charitable Trust" (WACT). WACT is responsible for organising support services that are available to occupants, including:
  - Assessing what support is required for the whānau;
  - Referring whanau to social support and health organisations as appropriate;
  - Working with whanau for the duration of their stay (meeting at least weekly or more

frequently as appropriate);

- Supporting the household to explore longer term housing options; and
- Carrying out regular inspections of the units.
- 40. The motel operator will continue to manage the day-to-day running of the facility. This includes:
  - Regular maintenance checks of all units;
  - Outdoor maintenance;
  - Waste management;
  - Any repairs; and
  - Routine inspections of units.

#### Exit Strategy

- 41. The Applicant has provided a proposed 'Exit Strategy' with the application. The purpose of the document is to inform Council of MHUD's CEH exit strategy, which was required by Condition 5 of RC17887. MHUD has exited three of the 13 CEH motels originally granted consent in 2022.
- 42. It is proposed by MHUD to continue to take a phased approach to reducing CEH motels in Rotorua, For the reasons explained in section 5.7 of the application on the following basis:
  - Prioritise all CEH motels in Rotorua to determine the most appropriate motels to exit and the order in which these exits occur.
  - Continue to gradually exit one motel at a time to ensure the market is not flooded with multiple motels returning to the tourism market at the same time.
  - Work alongside MSD to actively manage exits by stopping new referrals into CEH motels by 30 June 2025.
- 43. The motel activity (tourist accommodation) will resume when the site is no longer operated as CEH. The Applicant has stated that "All necessary approvals are sought to enable this transition to occur (which is anticipated to be within the standard period to give effect to a land use consent under Section 125(1)(a) [of the RMA])." It is noted that the existing tourist accommodation activity resource consent has already been given effect to under section 125(1A)(a) of the RMA, meaning the consent has not lapsed.
- 44. The site plan is shown in Figure 3 below, and further details of the proposal are outlined in Section 5 of the application.



Figure 3 – Site plan showing number of units, carparking, managers unit/office and children's play area.

# **ACTIVITY STATUS**

- 45. The activity status for each application is discussed in detail in the Overview Report.
- 46. In summary, the proposed activity has been assessed as a **Non-Complying Activity** pursuant to Rules COMZ-R1(1) and RESZ-R2(1) of the District Plan as the activity is not expressly provided for in the District Plan.

# NOTIFICATION AND SUBMISSIONS

#### **Notification Process**

- 47. The application was publicly notified on 20 July 2024. Notice of the application was also served on the owners/occupiers of immediately adjacent properties and owners/occupiers of neighbouring properties, owners/occupiers of the site, as well as the following groups:
  - Rotorua Housing Taskforce
  - Te Pokapū
  - Ngāti Whakaue
  - Te Arawa Lakes Trust
  - Restore Rotorua Incorporated
  - Rotorua NZ
  - Bay of Plenty Regional Council
  - Waka Kotahi
  - Tuhourangi Tribal Authority

- Ngati Wahiao
- Te Komiti Nui o Ngati Whakaue
- Whakarewarewa Living Village
- Visions of a Helping Hand
- Wera Aotearoa Charitable Trust
- Emerge Aotearoa
- New Zealand Police

#### Submitters

- 48. Following the close of submissions, 176 submissions from 37 submitters were received across all seven notified CEH applications. The majority of submissions applied to all seven applications and focused on general matters relating to emergency housing (for example, social and economic effects). These matters are addressed within the Overview Report and within the evidence prepared by Ms Foy and Ms Hampson.
- 49. There were no submitters that only submitted on Ascot on Fenton Motel. One person submitted on Ascot on Fenton Motel and Rotovegas Motel (noting these sites are adjoining) and was the only person to submit in support of the applications. One person submitted on Ascot on Fenton Motel and Rotovegas Motel in addition to a third motel (Geneva Motor Lodge). These submissions are summarised below.<sup>3</sup>

Submitter	Oppose /	Wish to	Submitted on other	Submission summary
Name/no.	Support	be heard	applications	
Donald William Paterson	Oppose	Yes	LU24-010189 LU24-010192	<ul> <li><u>Local resident</u></li> <li>Opposes use of motels on Fenton Street.</li> <li>Considers that the use of motels for emergency housing has damaged the city's reputation and pride has also been impacted.</li> <li>Identifies that Fenton Street is no longer "our Golden Mile".</li> <li>Concern that commitments made in the previous consents have not been adhered to.</li> <li>Seeks that the applications are declined and are returned to be used as motels.</li> </ul>
Peniel Elliot	Support	Yes	LU24-010192	<ul> <li><u>Resident on Toko Street adjacent to Ascot on</u> <u>Fenton and Rotovegas motels</u> <ul> <li>Strongly supports the continued use of the motels as CEH.</li> <li>Community need somewhere to stay while being supported into more stable and safer homes.</li> <li>Have had zero negative experiences from the Ascot on Fenton or Rotovegas Motels in the last 21 months.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is recognised that the majority of people submitted on all applications and whilst these general submissions were not directed specifically to Ascot on Fenton Motel, they are still applicable to this application. Therefore, the Section 104 assessment addresses comments both specific to Ascot on Fenton Motel, but also general themes across all sites.

	٠	Wrap around support model is sufficient to ensure the safety and
		wellbeing of all is prioritised.

## **SECTION 104 ASSESSMENT**

# SECTION 104(1)(a) – ASSESSMENT OF ACTUAL AND POTENTIAL EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT

- 50. Having reviewed the application and submissions, I consider it appropriate to address the actual and potential effects of the proposal under the following topics:
  - Character and design-related effects
    - o External effects
    - Internal effects
    - Transportation effects
      - Parking and access
      - Traffic generation
  - Noise effects
    - Reverse sensitivity effects
    - Noise from CEH
  - Effects on infrastructure
  - Financial contributions
- 51. It is noted that many of the site-specific submitters also raise concerns over crime, CEH occupants' behaviour, and the effects of CEH on business and tourism. These matters are not covered in this report. An assessment of the social and economic effects of all applications is addressed in the Overview Report and statements of evidence.

#### CHARACTER AND DESIGN-RELATED EFFECTS

- 52. The site is located in the COMZ4 and RESZ2 zones.
- 53. The District Plan describes COMZ4 as consisting of:

[t]ourism accommodation and high density residential concentrated along city entranceways and arterial routes such as Fenton Street and Lake Road. The Commercial 4 zone provides for the continued operation and development of tourist accommodation and supporting commercial activities, as well as all forms of residential, at medium to high densities.

54. The District Plan describes RESZ2 as:

areas with good accessibility to commercial activities, public open space and community services, used predominantly for high density residential activities such as apartments, rest homes, and other compatible activities. Over time as an increase in residential density occurs, a high density residential urban built character is expected, including six storey residential buildings.

55. Generally, the District Plan refers to design in the COMZ4 Zone the context of the "design and appearance of buildings" (COMZ-I2) and in the RESZ2 Zone as "the design, layout and appearance of residential sites" (RESZ-I2).

56. These elements generally define the character of each of the zones. The following sections assess the external effects of the site, as well as the internal effects, in the context of character and the design of the facility.

#### **External Effects**

#### Fenton Street and Toko Street

- 57. The site has frontage to Fenton Street (COMZ4) and Toko Street (RESZ2). The Fenton Street frontage is characterised by the existing motel buildings, and small areas of landscaping directly in front of the building (including low level hedging and vegetation) and a permanent pool fence (with a gate) at the entrance to the site.
- 58. At the Toko Street frontage, the motel building is single storey. This end of the building is consistent with surrounding residential properties. There is some parking located directly in front of the building and some planting at the northern corner. A gate is located at the entrance, consistent with the white pool fencing at the Fenton Street frontage.
- 59. These aspects form part of the existing environment. With the exception of the removal of motel signage, the streetscape characteristics of the site have not changed since the site operated as tourist motel accommodation. There are no physical changes proposed by the Applicant. The buildings were constructed for tourist accommodation activities and are consistent with the character anticipated by the COMZ4 and RESZ2 Zones.

#### **Boundaries with Neighbouring Properties**

- 60. The site adjoins other emergency housing sites<sup>4</sup> to the north and south, as well as one residential property<sup>5</sup> to the north. The building on the residential property is built right up to a large concrete wall and therefore is entirely separated from the subject site.
- 61. A low concrete wall with a painted mural exists on the northern boundary of the subject site, which contains some small planter boxes. This wall separates the driveway areas on the subject site from the driveway areas on the neighbouring sites. As there is limited opportunity for landscaping across the site due to it being largely impervious, it is recommended that the planter boxes are retained.<sup>6</sup>
- 62. The owner of 20 Toko Street, while not a direct neighbour of the site, has submitted on this application. Their submission is in support of the application, stating they have had zero negative experiences since residing at their property. Their submission does not include any recommendations, nor relief sought.

#### **Conclusion**

63. Provided the existing external boundary treatments and landscaping features along the boundaries of the site (established vegetation, hedging, and fencing) are maintained, character and design-related effects of the proposal on the environment are assessed as acceptable, and consistent with design and appearance outcomes anticipated by the District Plan in each zone. Continued adherence to the SMP will also manage any external effects relating to the upkeep of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> RotoVegas Motel (CEH application also being considered by the Commissioner).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 10C Toko Street.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 6}$  Refer recommended condition 12 in Appendix 1.

the site.

#### **Internal Effects**

#### Length of Stay

- 64. One of the key differences between motel guests and CEH residents is the length of stay in the units. The Applicant has stated that *"the key difference between motel guests and emergency housing residents is that in some cases, emergency housing occupants will be on site for several weeks, whereas a motel guest would rarely stay that long."* It is recognised that in some cases the length of stay for residents could exceed three weeks, and that no limit to the length of stay is proposed in the application.
- 65. Motel guests may be comfortable with lower levels of amenity (such as a lack of outdoor space) due to the shorter length of stay. Furthermore, it is likely that motel guests will not spend long periods within the motel units, as they would typically be visiting sites and experiencing the city.
- 66. CEH occupants on the other hand may spend longer within the units during the day and are likely to reside in the units for a longer period of time. This means that a higher level of amenity is likely to be expected. The following sections discuss internal effects, in relation to outdoor living space and the proposed occupancy rate.

Outdoor living

- 67. The site is entirely impervious, with around 55% of the site area occupied by the existing buildings. The remainder of the site is predominantly used for vehicles (parking and manoeuvring), aside from a small area in between the two buildings which is for the storage of waste and a small children's play area (consisting of a trampoline).
- 68. The Applicant has considered the private space at the rear of the units as being 'outdoors', stating in their response to the Section 92 further information request that "all residents have access to **private outdoor living space**" (my emphasis added).
- 69. It is understood that these areas are fully enclosed under an eave and within walls and are not actually outside, despite being outside the unit. On this basis, the site has been assessed as not containing any private outdoor space.
- 70. The units do not have any shared outdoor space aside from a small section of paved area containing a trampoline.
- 71. In both COMZ4<sup>7</sup> and RESZ2<sup>8</sup>, residential units must have an outdoor living space that is at least 20m<sup>2</sup> and a minimum depth of 3m at ground level. This space may be grouped communally by area in one communally accessible location. There is one objective and policy in the District Plan for the COMZ4 Zone that addresses outdoor living space, and several objectives and policies in the District Plan for the RESZ2 Zone that require or encourage outdoor living space. These objectives and policies are addressed further in the section 104(1)(b) assessment of this report.
- 72. Overall, the site does not comply with the District Plan standards for outdoor living space and does not provide a high level of amenity due to the lack of private or shared outdoor living space. Mitigating factors relate to the short-term nature of the activity and the fact that the

<sup>7</sup> COMZ-S5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> RESZ-S6A.

surrounding area is well served with local amenities and social infrastructure.

#### Suitability for Children

- 73. Mr Peacocke states in his evidence that play is important for the development, health and wellbeing of children. The impacts on children when they do not have access to 'play' is discussed in detail within his evidence.
- 74. Mr Peacocke has assessed the site as "unacceptable" for children between the age of zero and seven years, as there is no safe outdoor space for play. The site has been assessed as "low" suitability for children between the age of 8 to 18 years. While there is no space on-site for children in these age groups to gather, Marist Saint Michael's Reserve Sports Park is approximately 450m away and this group could engage in active recreation as they are older and more independent.
- 75. The policy direction for the RESZ2 Zone is clear that residential units are to be provided with healthy, safe and quality living environments that contribute to people's well-being. Taking this into consideration, alongside the evidence of Mr Peacocke, a condition of consent is recommended that children between the age of six months to seven years cannot reside on the site.<sup>9</sup>
- 76. In regard to older children (aged eight years and above), whilst the "low" rating indicates that the outdoor living space is not ideal for children in these age brackets, it is nonetheless acceptable in terms of Mr Peacocke's rating system. A condition of consent is recommended that the dedicated children's play area (including the trampoline) is retained for this age group.<sup>10</sup>
- 77. It is acknowledged that similar conditions were recommended in the Section 42A report for the current consent (RC17887), but that they were not imposed by the Panel in the 2022 hearing. However, it is considered appropriate to recommend these conditions again for this site. The recommendations of Mr Peacocke remain unchanged from those provided in Ms Collins' evidence in the 2022 hearing, and the policy direction for the COMZ4 and RESZ2 Zones has greater focus on providing healthy, safe and quality living environments as a result of amendments to the District Plan made by Plan Change 9.
- 78. It is noted that some existing families may be residing in units affected by the recommended conditions preventing children from residing in specific units. Requiring them to move immediately, if consent is granted, could be an unnecessary disruption. There are options that could be considered, including:
  - A transition period of three months; and
  - Allowing the family to stay (if they wish) until they no longer need CEH, but ensuring that any incoming occupants meet the proposed and age restrictions.

#### Occupancy Rate

79. The Applicant proposes 43 (maximum) occupants within 14 units (excluding staff and children under the age of 18 months). This is an average of 3.1 people per unit, excluding any tamariki

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Refer recommended condition 7 in Appendix 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 10}$  Refer recommended condition 16 in Appendix 1.

under 18 months old.

- 80. The District Plan does not provide guidance on occupancy levels of dwellings. The application is assessed on the basis that the facility is occupied at fully capacity of 43 people.
- 81. A number of submitters have raised concerns that the motels are not fit for purpose or are inappropriate for use as CEH; the implication being that overcrowding is an issue. In this regard, a 2018 Statistics New Zealand report states that *"there should be no more than two people to a bedroom but that couples and children of certain ages can share a bedroom"*.<sup>11</sup>
- 82. The measure used by Statistics New Zealand is the Canadian National Occupancy Standard (CNOS) and is regarded as a "best fit for the New Zealand context". The New Zealand Deprivation Index uses CNOS as an indicator of crowding.<sup>12</sup> Applied to the site, the proposed occupancy rates may result in crowding.<sup>13</sup> To avoid crowding, there would generally need to be a limit of two people per bedroom.
- 83. It is recognised that a reduced occupancy limit may not meet the objective of CEH which is to house whānau/family with children. While some CEH families may consist of two people, it is likely there will be many families consisting of three or more people. It is expected that on-site service providers, who are required to ensure families are allocated a unit that best suits their needs, will triage families into units that appropriately suit their family size to avoid crowding.
- 84. Regarding the enforcement of the maximum capacity limit, the Applicant has offered as a condition of consent to maintain a record of total occupancy numbers.

#### Conclusion

85. Overall, it is recognised that the motel units are a temporary accommodation solution for families and individuals who do not have alternative accommodation options. Whilst they may not provide a level of amenity equivalent to more typical permanent residential units, the conditions recommended above will reduce long-term negative impacts on children (in relation to play). Overall, with the adoption of the above recommendations, internal design-related effects are considered acceptable.

#### **TRANSPORTATION EFFECTS**

#### **Parking and Access**

86. The subject site will continue to use the existing vehicle crossings onto Fenton Street and Toko Street. The Fenton Street vehicle crossing previously provided both ingress and egress to the site. The applicant has stated that as of 30 November 2023 it only provides ingress, due to issues with vehicles reversing onto Fenton Street. Vehicles now enter the site from Fenton Street and exit onto Toko Street, ensuring all vehicles enter and exit the site in a forward-facing direction. A condition of consent could be imposed to ensure that this access arrangement is maintained

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Stats NZ (2018) *Living in a crowded house: exploring the ethnicity and well-being of people in crowded households.* Retrieved from <u>www.stats.govt.nz.</u>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Stats NZ (2012) Finding the crowding index that works best for New Zealand. Retrieved from <u>www.stats.govt.nz.</u>
 <sup>13</sup> As defined by Statistics NZ.

on an ongoing basis.

87. There are 14 carparks provided on-site (one per unit), as well as one accessible park. There are no changes proposed to the existing carparking arrangement within the site. No specific visitor parking is provided for in the application. Notwithstanding this, the local street network has available parking at the kerbside.

#### **Traffic Generation**

- 88. With respect to traffic generation, the Applicant has noted that the traffic generation has altered from the previous motel activity to the CEH activity, with residents more likely to stay on site during the day or go to or from the site for work purposes, compared with tourists who may travel in and out several times a day, and check in and out at different times.
- 89. However, the Applicant has assessed that the use of the site as CEH has not resulted in any discernible traffic generation effects from the previous motel activity. This is not expected to change as a result of the continued use of the site as CEH for a further one-year period, as sought through this application.
- 90. Council's development engineers have reviewed the application and have not raised any issues from a transportation perspective, nor any issues associated with the implementation of the existing consent.
- 91. On this basis, the transportation effects associated with the proposal are assessed as acceptable.

#### NOISE EFFECTS

#### **Reverse Sensitivity Effects**

- 92. The District Plan requires acoustic insulation of new sensitive activities within COMZ4 to mitigate potential reverse sensitivity effects of new sensitive activities on existing commercial activities. As the motel buildings were constructed in the 1960s, it is unlikely the units have been designed to meet the acoustic standards required by the District Plan.
- 93. COMZ4 predominantly consists of existing tourist accommodation activities and apartments. Directly adjoining Ascot Motel is Rotovegas Motel (currently also used for CEH accommodation) to the north and south, residential activities to the west and commercial activities to the east (consisting of a liquor shop and a printing service). Due to the nature of these activities not being significant sources of noise, it is unlikely that noise experienced at the subject site will be higher than what is typically anticipated within a residential environment.
- 94. Overall, the potential for reverse sensitivity effects is low.

#### Noise from CEH

95. The Applicant has not applied to breach the noise standards within the District Plan. Potential noise can stem from the continued pattern of CEH use on-site (i.e. instead of a tourist accommodation facility), such as increased noise levels from a higher number of people being on-site during the day (compared to tourists who are more likely to be out during the day), as well as an increase in children playing outside.

96. The Applicant states in section 7.2.2 of the application that:

As with any residential activity, general noise may be associated with emergency housing being located on the subject site; however, this will be dispersed throughout the site and will be domestic in nature. Overall, it is expected that any noise that is generated from the proposed use of the site will not exceed the permitted noise levels for this environment, nor is it expected to be any greater than the noise generated from the use of the site as a motel.

- 97. To manage any potential noise effects, the Applicant has recommended the implementation of an SMP. The SMP will continue to result in a more restrictive and supervised environment (insofar as managing potential noise and nuisance effect) than would otherwise exist if the subject site would be operated as a motel.
- 98. Noise measures referred to in the SMP include not disturbing the "quiet and peaceful enjoyment" of neighbours, and compliance with the noise limits of the District Plan. Furthermore, the SMP outlines that no visitors, alcohol or drugs are permitted on site, and it provides management measures regarding the de-escalation of conflict. CEH occupants must agree to these rules and sign a Rules of Stay Agreement before moving into a CEH housing unit.
- 99. Adherence to the SMP will reduce the potential for noise generation at the site and ensure compliance with the District Plan noise limits. However, it is recognised that isolated incidents may occur which may cause nuisance to the neighbours.
- 100. In this case, conditions of consent have been recommended to provide an 0800-telephone line for the community to address any noise complaints<sup>14</sup>.
- 101. With the above management measures in place, any potential noise effects from the proposed activity will be acceptable.

#### INFRASTRUCTURE

- 102. No changes are proposed to the on-site reticulated servicing as part of the proposal.
- 103. The application was circulated to the development engineering team within Council, and they had no comments on the proposal. Considering the above, it is likely that the proposed activity will avoid any adverse effects on the infrastructure capacity of the district.

#### FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS

104. Rule FC-R1(2) (financial contributions for reserves) of the District Plan states:

A financial contribution for reserve purposes will be required for all second and subsequent residential units on a site. The financial contribution will be cash, land, or a combination of these.

105. Under this rule a financial contribution of 1% of the value of the net site area or net floor area that the additional residential unit has exclusive rights to would need to be paid to Council for the new household units.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Refer recommended condition 26(i) in Appendix 1.

- 106. Rule FC-R2 (financial contributions for infrastructure) of the District Plan requires financial contributions to be taken where additional impacts on public infrastructure will result from an activity. This can be taken in cash to mitigate the effects on infrastructure.
- 107. As this is a short-term activity for an additional one-year term, and no reserve land acquisition or capital works will be undertaken, it would be unreasonable to impose a financial contribution.

#### CONCLUSION

108. Overall, any actual and potential effects on the environment of a site-specific nature can be mitigated to a level that is acceptable subject to conditions of consent.

#### SECTION 104(1)(b) – OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES OF THE DISTRICT PLAN

109. An assessment against the broad objectives and policies of the District Plan, as well as Plan Change 9, is provided in the Overview Report. The following sections address objectives and policies for matters of a site-specific nature.

#### **COMMERCIAL 4 ZONE**

110. The following objectives and policies are relevant to the site in COMZ4.

#### **Commercial Centres**

- COMZ-O1: A hierarchy of vibrant compact commercial and tourism centres that efficiently service and support the needs of the surrounding community and nationally significant tourism sector.
- COMZ-P5: City Entranceway Accommodation: Enable a mix of high density residential uses, accommodation activities, including visitor accommodation, and supporting commercial activities.
- 111. The proposed activity is consistent with the zone's policy direction regarding the provision of highdensity residential uses enabled within the COMZ4 zone.

#### **Design and Appearance of Buildings**

- COMZ-O2: Buildings and activities positively contribute to the mixed use character, safety and efficiency, and attractiveness of commercial centres and entranceways to Rotorua.
- COMZ-P8: Enable and encourage high quality development that positively contributes to the safety and attractiveness of streets and public open spaces.
- *COMZ-P9:* Manage the design of activities within commercial centres to maintain or enhance the character, public safety and efficient functioning of the transport network.
- COMZ-O3: Buildings and activities designed and operated in a manner that mitigates adverse effects on the amenity of residential zones.
- COMZ-P10: Enable an increase in the density, diversity and quality of housing in identified zones, while maintaining their commercial function and managing potential reverse sensitivity effects.
- COMZ-P11: Manage the effects and design of activities to ensure that the amenity of adjoining residential properties is not adversely affected.
- COMZ-P12:
- *Require the design of all buildings to positively contribute to the safety and attractiveness of the street by:*

- Within commercial centres, require development to maximise street activation, building continuity along the street, pedestrian amenity and safety;
- Within other commercial areas, require buildings to orientate to front the street, locate active uses on the street edge, including building entrances, lobbies, and commercial activities where proposed. These methods in particular, will assist to reinforce Fenton Street as an entranceway to the City Centre.
- COMZ-O3A: Residential development provides healthy, safe, and quality living environments that contribute to the well-being of residents.
- COMZ-P13: b) Require the design of residential units to achieve quality on site living environments for people by providing:
  - *i. Private outdoor living that is functional and accessible;*
  - *ii.* A reasonable level of visual privacy and outlook;
  - *iii.* Safe and convenient pedestrian access to residential units from the street; and
  - *iv.* Where located outside of commercial centres:
    - 1. Opportunities for on-site landscaping; and
    - 2. Opportunities for passive surveillance of the street, while allowing privacy for residents.
- 112. The proposed activity is consistent with the policy direction for the COMZ4 regarding the provision of a diversity of housing types. Likewise, maintaining the existing character of COMZ4 is achieved by using the existing buildings and maintaining the existing landscaping.
- 113. Residential properties are located to the west of the site, on the opposite site of Toko Street, and on the northern boundary. The large concrete retaining wall provides screening of residential activities on the northern boundary. The Toko Street frontage does not contain any outlook space from the units that may look out at residential properties. Due to the height of the existing building on-site, some upper unit windows are looking out at adjacent properties on the northern and southern boundaries, however these are both tourist accommodation. Recommended conditions to maintain the fencing and existing vegetation on site will help manage privacy effects.
- 114. The SMP will manage any potential noise or disturbance effects on adjacent properties.
- 115. A condition of consent would require the consent holder to maintain the boundary vegetation and manage any adverse noise or disturbance effects through the SMP.
- 116. The efficient functioning of the transport network will be maintained through amendments to the SMP to communicate with visitors where to park their vehicles.
- 117. In terms of building design, it is recognized that the use of the motel facility for longer-term emergency residential use is not consistent with the policy direction regarding the provision of healthy, safe and quality living environments that contribute to the well-being of residents.
- 118. The evidence of Mr Peacocke has identified that the site rates "low" to "moderate" in terms of the outdoor and play space available for children and rangatahi but rated as "unacceptable" for children in the 6-month to 7-year old age group. As such, it is considered that the site is not appropriate for children aged between 6 months to 7 years. A condition of consent would prevent occupancy of motel units for this age group. The remainder of the site is not inappropriate for the proposed temporary CEH use of one year for all age groups.

#### **Reverse Sensitivity**

• COMZ-05: Subdivision, use and development that enables the continued efficient operation of existing development and activities.

- COMZ-P16: Manage the location and design of new subdivision, use and development within each zone to avoid adverse reverse sensitivity effects on existing activities.
- 119. The proposal is unlikely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects on surrounding residential properties, as it is unlikely the uses of these properties will have an adverse effect on the operation of CEH and on the amenity of CEH occupants.
- 120. Directly adjoining Ascot on Fenton Motel is RotoVegas Motel (currently also used for CEH accommodation) to the north and south, residential activities to the west and commercial activities to the east (consisting of a liquor shop and a printing service). Due to the nature of these activities not being significant sources of noise, it is unlikely that noise experienced at the subject site will be higher than what is typically anticipated within a residential environment.

#### **RESIDENTIAL 2 ZONE**

121. The following objectives and policies are relevant to the site in RESZ2 Zone.

#### Activities in the Residential 2 Zone – High Density Residential Zone

- RESZ-O8: Land that has good accessibility by existing or planned active or public transport to a range of commercial activities, public open space and community services, is efficiently used for high density urban living that increases housing supply and choice.
- *RESZ-P10: Enable a variety of housing typologies with a mix of densities within the zone, including attached and detached dwellings, rest homes and apartments.*
- *RESZ-O9: Development contributes to the creation of neighbourhoods with an overall high density residential urban built character, comprising residential buildings in a variety of forms and including six storeys, integrated with on-site landscaped areas.*
- *RESZ-P11: Achieve the planned high density residential urban built character by:* 
  - 1. Enabling a variety of housing types and sizes, including 6-storey buildings;
  - 2. Encouraging development to provide a quality edge to the street through building design and orientation, setbacks, low or visually permeable fencing, and landscaping; and
  - 3. Providing opportunities for space around buildings and on-site landscaping.
- *RESZ-O10: Development contributes to attractive and safe streets and open spaces.*
- *RESZ-P12:* Encourage development to achieve attractive and safe streets and public open spaces, including by providing for passive surveillance.
- *RESZ-O11:* Development provides healthy, safe and quality living environments that contribute to the well-being of residents, within the context of a high density residential environment.
- *RESZ-P13: Enable housing to be designed to meet the day-to-day needs of residents.*
- *RESZ-P14: Require development to achieve quality living environments for residents by providing:* 
  - 1. Private open space that has access to sunlight;
  - 2. A reasonable level of visual privacy and outlook;

- 3. Opportunities for on-site landscaping;
- 4. Safe and convenient pedestrian access to residential units from the street.
- *RESZ-012: Development is supported by adequate infrastructure.*
- *RESZ-P15:* Manage the potential adverse effects of development on adjoining sites, without limiting the ability to achieve the planned high density residential urban built character, including by:
  - 1. Setting buildings back from site and rear boundaries;
  - 2. Managing the effects of the length of buildings along side and rear boundaries;
  - 3. Providing opportunities for sunlight access to adjoining sites;
  - 4. Encouraging the use of other design techniques such as building recesses, varied architectural treatment and landscaping along side and rear boundaries.
- *RESZ-P17: For proposals of four or more residential units, provide adequate storage for cycles on site.*
- *RESZ-O17: Non-residential activities in residential zones that are domestic in scale and character and do not have an adverse impact on the amenity values and character of the residential zones, or the vitality and viability of the City Centre or Commercial zones.*
- 122. The proposal does not involve any physical changes to the site or buildings. Therefore, this assessment against objectives and policies in the District Plan primarily relates to whether the conversion of the tourist accommodation to household units will be consistent with the outcomes and amenity levels anticipated within the Residential zone.
- 123. The proposed activity is consistent with the RESZ2's policy direction of efficiently using land that has good access to public transport, commercial activities, public open spaces and community services, which provides for housing supply and choice.
- 124. The proposed activity is consistent with the RESZ2's policy direction regarding the provision of a variety of housing forms and housing typologies, integrated with on-site landscaped areas.
- 125. Likewise, maintaining the existing character of the RESZ2 Zone, and attractive and safe streets and open spaces is achieved by using the existing buildings and maintaining the existing landscaping.
- 126. The proposed activity is not considered consistent with the RESZ2's policy direction regarding the provision of quality living environments for residents, and meeting the day to day needs of residents, specifically as the units have been identified as lacking private and shared outdoor space. These units have also been identified as inappropriate for children of specific age groups. As such, conditions of consent are recommended to manage these effects to a level that is acceptable.
- 127. The SMP will manage any potential noise or disturbance effects on adjacent properties.
- 128. Non-residential activities on the site relate to the provision of the support-services. The support services are located within the existing buildings (within the office/managers' unit). This activity is directly related to the residential activities on site and will not adversely impact on amenity values or the character of the RESZ2 Zone. It is noted that while the support services provide management across the entire site, the actual office building is located within COMZ4

at the Fenton Street frontage. Ancillary offices in this location are envisaged within the zone and provided for as a permitted activity.

- 129. The units are north-facing and therefore provide ample sunlight. However, there is no outdoor space for garden and amenity space, as the areas in front of the units are dominated by carparking and maneuvering areas.
- 130. There are no windows at the Toko Street frontage, however the pool fencing does provide for some passive surveillance onto the street.
- 131. While there is not specific provision for cycle parking, there is space on-site to store bicycles if necessary.
- 132. As assessed above, the design of the site is consistent with the character of the RESZ2 Zone. There are existing resource consents to allow for the side yard intrusions.

#### **Reverse Sensitivity**

133. The following objectives and policies relate to reverse sensitivity in the RESZ2 Zone:

- *RESZ-O22: Residential property design and development that ensures reverse sensitivity effects on network utilities and other infrastructure do not occur.*
- *RESZ-O23: Subdivision, use and development that enables the continued efficient operation of existing development and activities.*
- *RESZ-P40:* Manage the location and design of new subdivision, use and development within each zone to avoid adverse reverse sensitivity effects on existing activities.
- 134. As assessed earlier in this report, the amenity of surrounding residential zones (in relation to visual amenity and noise) will be retained through the implementation of conditions. Further amenity effects (such as anti-social behaviour) are addressed within the Overview Report and the statement of evidence by Ms Foy.

#### NOISE

135. The following objectives and policies are applicable in regard to noise:

- NOISE-01: A noise environment consistent with the character and amenity expected for the zone.
- NOISE-P3: Control the potential effects of noise generated in one zone and received in another zone.
- NOISE-P4: Minimise, where practicable, noise at its source or on the site from which it is generated to mitigate adverse effects on adjacent sites.
- NOISE-P9: Mitigate adverse effects generated by central city and infrastructural activities through the requirement that new noise sensitive activities that locate within the Central City or close to major infrastructure are appropriately insulated.
- 136. Noise levels generated from the proposed activity will be managed through the proposed conditions of consent and through the SMP. As outlined above, the potential for reverse sensitivity effects is low.
- 137. The activities in the immediate vicinity of the site include other CEH activities, residential

activities, an early childcare facility, tourist accommodation and commercial activities on the opposite side of Fenton Street (consisting of a liquor store and a printing service). As the activities on Toko Street and the western side of Fenton Street are similar in nature, the proposed use of the site is considered compatible with the zoning.

138. The commercial activities are located on the eastern side of Fenton Street (across a four-lane major arterial road) and at this distance it is unlikely that reverse sensitivity effects will be experienced.

#### INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORT

#### Infrastructure

- *EIT-O3: Land use, subdivision and development that do not adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrading of and access to existing infrastructure.*
- EIT-P14: Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of new land use and development on the efficient operation, maintenance and access to existing infrastructure.
- 139. The application is not anticipated to put additional pressure on infrastructure, given no changes are proposed.

#### Transport

- *EIT-O7: Subdivision, use and development that enables the continued efficient operation of existing development and activities.*
- EIT-P18: Protect the safety, efficiency, sustainability and capacity of the transport network through avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of land use, development and subdivision.
- EIT-P22: Ensure that subdivision, use and development located in the vicinity of the district's transport network is appropriately designed to avoid, remedy or mitigate any reverse sensitivity effects such as noise and vibration.
- 140. The site has existing vehicle crossings and on-site parking. Any potential increase in traffic in the immediate transport network from support services is anticipated to be negligible.
- 141. Overall, the proposed activity will enable the continued operation, efficiency, and sustainability of the transport network.

#### Conclusion

- 142. Overall, the proposal is generally consistent with the objectives and policies contained in the Commercial Zones chapter, the Residential Zones chapter, the Noise chapter and the Infrastructure chapter of the District Plan.
- 143. However, there are several objectives and policies that require and encourage household units to provide outdoor space; in relation to design and appearance of buildings and character of the RESZ2 and COMZ4 Zones. As the proposal does not provide any outdoor space other than a small children's play area, it is inconsistent with these objectives and policies. Conditions of consent have been proposed to manage the effects in this regard.

# CONCLUSION

- 144. A conclusion on the actual and potential effects on the environment is provided at paragraph 108. This determines that the adverse effects of the activity on the environment of a site-specific nature will be acceptable, with the adoption of the recommended conditions.
- 145. A conclusion against the objectives and policies of the District Plan is provided at paragraphs 142-143. Whilst there is inconsistency with some policies, on balance (and with the imposition of additional conditions) the proposal is not contrary to the objectives and policies of the District Plan.

# **CONDITIONS AND ADVICE NOTES**

146. Recommended conditions of a site-specific nature and advice notes are attached to this report as **Appendices 1 and 2**, respectively.

# **APPENDIX 1: RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS**

#### General

- The activity shall be in general accordance with the information submitted with the Application for Resource Consent LU24-010188, the response to the request for further information, dated 3 September 2024 and Site Plan entitled "247 Fenton Street and 12 Toko Street ... Ascot on Fenton, Rotorua Motels – Emergency Accommodation", sheet 1 of 1, dated 10/07/2023.
- 2. Te Tuapapa Kura Kainga Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (MHUD) shall be the Consent Holders' representative who will be the principal contact person for Rotorua Lakes Council in regard to matters relating to this consent, and:
  - a. Within two weeks following the commencement of this resource consent MHUD shall inform the Rotorua Lakes Council of the MHUD's representative's name and contact details; and
  - b. Should MHUD's representative's name and contact details change during the term of this resource consent, MHUD shall inform the Rotorua Lakes Council as soon as practicable, and within no more than five working days.

#### Contract for the operation of CEH with MHUD

3. The site must be subject to a contract for the operation of CEH with MHUD at all times.

#### **Consent Expiry**

- 4. This resource consent shall expire on the earlier date of either:
  - a. 15 December 2025; or
  - b. The date of cancellation of MHUD's contract for CEH applying to the site under Condition 3. **Note:**
  - 1. Condition 4(b) does not prevent MHUD from renewing its contract with the motel operator within the overall one-year timeframe that is provided for under Condition 4(a).
  - 2. Where the consent expires, use of the site may return to tourist accommodation that operated prior to use as CEH, or another use that complies with the provisions of the District Plan.

#### Cessation of the CEH Activity on the site

5. No later than 6 months prior to the consent expiry under Condition 4(a), the consent holder shall submit to the Manager, Planning & Development Solutions, Rotorua Lakes Council, or their delegate, for certification, an exit programme to end the use of the site and buildings for CEH within the timeframe granted under this consent.

The exit programme shall, at a minimum, detail the following matters:

- a. The plans to have the residents relocated from the site at the expiry of the consent; when the CEH will not be accepting further residents; and
- b. Details of any required works to reinstate the buildings as a motel.
- c. The consent holder shall notify the Council when CEH activities have ceased.

#### Scale and Intensity

6. A maximum of 98 occupants shall be permitted to reside within the 39 contracted emergency

#### housing units. <u>Note:</u>

To avoid doubt, this resource consent does not:

- 1. Restrict the length of stay for residents in the contracted emergency housing units (see Advice Note 1 referring to Building Act requirements); or
- 2. Limit the number of people residing in the Manager's Accommodation.
- 7. No children between the ages of six months and seven years may reside within any units on the site.
- 8. Condition 7 only applies to incoming occupants entering the units on or after 15 December 2024. Occupants already residing in the units with children between six months and seven years on 15 December 2024 may:
  - a. Choose to remain in the unit for the length of their stay; or
  - b. Transition to a more suitable unit within three months of the commencement of this consent.

#### Record Keeping and Reporting

- 9. A written (including electronic) record shall be maintained at all times that states:
  - a. The total occupancy numbers across the whole site;
  - b. The number of people within each unit;
  - c. The ages of people residing on-site; and
  - d. The details of any complaints received and any incidents where security staff intervention has been required, and responses undertaken by the Consent Holder to address these incidents or complaints;
- 10. The information listed in Condition 9 shall be reported to the Council's Monitoring and Compliance Officer at six monthly intervals from the date of commencement of the consent and made available at any other time upon request. The information will be provided in a form that does not identify individuals.
- 11. The Consent Holder shall provide a compliance report to the Council's Monitoring and Compliance Officer six months after the commencement of the consent, outlining compliance with the consent conditions over the preceding six months. At a minimum the Compliance Report shall include:
  - a. An assessment of the Consent Holder's compliance with the conditions and any recommendations to address any identified non-compliances;
  - b. Recent photographs of landscaping, open space and boundary fencing;
  - c. Recent photographs of play space required by Condition 16 (Play Areas)
  - d. Details of how compliance is achieved in respect of Condition 21 (Streetscape Amenity), including any maintenance undertaken in the preceding 12 months and processes for keeping street berms tidy; and
  - e. An assessment of the effectiveness of the SMP and any recommended amendments to the SMP to improve its effectiveness.

#### Landscaping, Open Space and Boundary Fencing

12. The existing landscaping (trees and other vegetation) internally and along all boundaries of the

site shall be retained for the duration of the consent.

- 13. The existing shared open space, as shown on the Site Plan (approved under Condition 1), shall be retained in a condition suitable for recreational use by occupants.
- 14. All external boundary fencing shall be maintained in the same or similar form to the existing fencing to provide privacy and security for contracted emergency housing occupants and adjoining neighbours.
- 15. The landscaping outlined in Condition 12 shall be maintained in good condition and kept weed free. If any of the landscaping dies and/or becomes diseased, the dead and/or diseased plants shall be replaced in the same or similar location within the next planting season (generally between May and October) by a same or similar species of plants with a plant size capable of reaching the same height within the following planting season. Note:
  - 1. This condition does not restrict enhancement of landscaping.

#### Site-Specific Onsite Play Areas

- 16. On-site play areas (including the trampoline) shall be retained. <u>Note:</u>
  - 1. A children's play area is a dedicated outdoor space that facilitates play. This can include outdoor play equipment such as a trampoline and/or outdoor toys.

#### Motel Signage and Advertising

- All motel signage shall remain covered for the duration of the consent. This includes any vacancy/no vacancy signage and signs advertising the motel's amenities.
   <u>Notes:</u>
  - 1. To avoid doubt, reinstatement of motel signage may occur after consent expiry.
  - 2. The purpose of requiring signage to be removed is to avoid tourists pulling into the site or phoning to see if there is vacancy. As such, signage advertising the phone number, number of rooms, or the amenities onsite should be removed, but the name of the motel e.g. "Ascot on Fenton" can remain on display.
- 18. The Consent Holder shall, as far as is practicable, remove all online advertising and websites that promote tourist accommodation and other services at the site for the duration of the consent.

Note:

1. It is acknowledged that the nature of the internet is such that it may not be possible to remove advertising from all third-party websites.

#### Storage

19. Any storage of household effects of contracted emergency housing occupants shall be provided inside existing buildings, including verandahs and porch areas, on the site.

20. Waste storage shall continue to be screened from the road frontage or residential properties. **Streetscape Amenity** 

21. The Consent Holder shall undertake:

- a. Daily tidying of the subject site and immediately adjacent street berm to ensure the site contributes to an attractive streetscape;
- b. Daily removal of rubbish and graffiti from the subject site and street berms in front of the subject site; and
- c. Daily removal of shopping trolleys from public view from the subject site and street berms in front of the property.

#### Note:

The implementation of this condition is referred to in the Site Management Plan in Condition 25.

#### **Traffic Management**

22. The Consent Holder shall ensure that all vehicles enter the site via Fenton Street and exit the site via Toko Street, to prevent reverse manoeuvring onto Fenton Street.

#### **On-site Management**

- 23. An on-site staffing presence shall be maintained on the site at all times for the duration of the consent. The on-site staff shall be made aware of and understand the resource consent and its conditions and the compliance obligations.
- 24. No dogs shall be kept on site by CEH occupants other than disability assist dogs under the Dog Control Act 1996.
- 25. The CEH motel shall operate in accordance with the Site Management Plan submitted with LU24-010186. The purpose of the SMP shall be to ensure that resource consents and conditions are implemented by:
  - a. Operating under the CEH model as described in Te Hau ki te Kāinga Strategic Plan;
  - b. Ensuring the wellbeing of CEH occupants through appropriate placement of occupants; based on the CEH site;
  - c. Mitigating effects of CEH use on the immediate neighbourhood; and
  - d. Ensuring appropriate communication, monitoring and reporting, and response to complaints.

#### Note:

- 1. To avoid doubt, the SMP may be amended from time to time, and provided for recertification by RLC following any subsequent written confirmation by MHUD.
- 26. The SMP required by Condition 25 must include:
  - a. Details of the systems and procedures for placing people ('triaging') in the contracted emergency housing using the Nga Pou-e-Rima cultural framework including the:
    - i. Confirmation of placements primarily for families with children, young people / rangatahi, people with disabilities and elderly;
    - ii. Avoidance of crowding;
    - iii. Placement of families with children having regard to access to appropriate play space; and
    - iv. Management of people whose behaviour may create unacceptable risk to other occupants.
  - b. Details of on-site manager's responsibility for implementation of the SMP;
  - c. Details of the job title and name of the current person fulfilling the appointed suitable representative role required by Condition 2;
  - d. Details of the on-site support services to be provided, including the number of staff, location for training and office work within the site and hours of operation;

- e. Site management details and methods addressing, at a minimum, the following matters:
  - i. Visitor numbers and visiting hours, and on-site visitor parking;
  - ii. Staffing;
  - iii. On-site and roaming security personnel, credentials, systems and procedures;
  - iv. Location of carparking (including for visitors);
  - v. Location of open space and play space;
  - vi. Meeting /training operation (including hours of use);
  - vii. Use of communal areas and facilities;
  - viii. Details of regular site maintenance, including:
    - a. Daily maintenance of streetscape amenity under Condition 21;
    - b. Maintenance of landscaping and planting; and
    - c. Programmed maintenance of all buildings.
- f. Effective noise management measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate potential noise nuisance;
- g. The set of 'house rules' that will apply to the site;
- h. Directory provided to neighbours with contact information on who to call if issues arise from the operation of CEH on the subject site;
- i. Details of a 24/7 0800 number for both the community and onsite occupants to communicate or make complaints about CEH;
- j. The process for dealing with complaints by or about any occupants of the site;
- k. Methodology for receiving, recording and resolving communication or complaints made via the 0800 number outlined under (i) above.

#### Rotorua Lakes Council Meetings and Community Liaison Group (Augier Conditions)

- 27. Rotorua Lakes Council, MHUD senior management and/or senior advisors and a nominated representative from the CLG shall meet at least every six months during the period of the resource consent to discuss the following matters:
  - a. The operation of contracted emergency housing on the site (and within the context of other contracted emergency housing); and
  - b. Whether, in light of the demand for contracted emergency housing on the subject site and other sites, there is the ability for the CEH contract to be cancelled.

#### Notes:

- 1. It is acknowledged that a wide range of matters are likely to be relevant as to whether contracts for emergency housing should be terminated ahead of the one-year period.
- 2. While Condition 27 (above) is limited to CEH, this does not prevent a broader discussion about emergency housing generally.
- 28. MHUD shall establish and facilitate the continued operation of a Community Liaison Group (CLG) for the duration of this consent in accordance with the following requirements:
  - a. The purpose of the CLG is:
    - i. To promote effective engagement on an on-going and regular basis about matters associated with CEH;
    - ii. To promote the flow of information between the MHUD, Te Hau ki te Kāinga and the local community so as to, wherever possible, address any issues that may arise;
    - iii. To discuss the results of monitoring CEH and any matters that may arise as a result of the monitoring;
    - iv. To discuss any feedback on effectiveness of Site Management Plans and conditions; and
    - v. To discuss the exit strategy for CEH.
  - b. The CLG shall be comprised of one representative from each of MHUD, Te Hau ki Te Kāinga, representative(s) from the motel operators, Rotorua Lakes Council and Iwi. MHUD must also

invite:

- i. Three representatives from the community (where possible these representatives should be from different geographical clusters of CEH);
- ii. One representative from the tourism industry; and
- iii. One representative from Restore Rotorua Incorporated.
- c. MHUD shall ensure that members of the CLG are provided with the opportunity and facilities to meet:
  - i. No more than 30 working days after the commencement of the consent; and
  - ii. No-less frequently than every six months, unless all members of the CLG agree there is no need for a meeting.
- d. The time, date and venue of proposed meetings shall be notified to members of the CLG (by email) at least 10 working days in advance of the meeting;
- e. Minutes of the CLG meetings shall be kept by MHUD and be made publicly available;
- f. MHUD shall engage an independent chairperson to facilitate CLG meetings;
- g. MHUD shall meet the reasonable administrative costs of facilitating the CLG meetings (e.g. meeting invitations; meeting venue; preparation of meeting minutes) and chairing duties; and
- h. MHUD shall, in consultation with the CLG, develop a preferred method for communicating with the surrounding residents and hosting key documents (for example, a website, or other document hosting portal).

#### Notes:

- 1. Condition 28 governs initial membership for the purposes of convening the first meeting of the CLG. On-going membership requirements will be determined by the CLG including who is best placed to lead the CLG. The CLG shall be a single entity common to all CEH consents.
- 2. In the event that it is not possible to establish a CLG or convene meetings through lack of interest or participation from the local community, then such failure to do so will not be deemed a breach of these conditions. Should the local community wish to re-establish meetings after a period of inactivity, then the conditions above shall continue to apply.
- 3. The purpose of Condition 28 may be achieved through other means such as a modified Rotorua Housing Taskforce or other Rotorua emergency housing liaison group.

#### **Monitoring Fee**

29. The Consent Holder must pay the Rotorua Lakes Council an initial consent compliance monitoring charge, plus any further monitoring charge or charges to recover the actual and reasonable costs incurred to ensure compliance with the conditions attached to these consents. That fee, or those fees to be set by Council according to its normal practice.

#### Review

- 30. Pursuant to section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, Rotorua Lakes Council may, 6 months after this consent is given effect, serve notice on the Consent Holder to review any or all of the conditions of this consent with regard to the effectiveness of the conditions of this consent in avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment that may arise from the exercise of this consent and, if necessary, to avoid, remedy or mitigate such effects by way of further or amended conditions. In particular, adverse effects may relate to:
  - i. Site Management;
  - ii. The use of common / shared areas;
  - iii. Parking; and/or
  - iv. Waste Management.

# **APPENDIX 2: ADVICE NOTES**

#### **Building Act**

- 1. This is not a Building Consent. The Building Act 2004 contains provisions relating to the construction, alteration, and demolition of buildings. The Act requires building consents to be obtained where relevant, and for all such work to comply with the building code.
- 2. Under the Building Act (Section 114), a building owner must give written notice to the territorial authority if they plan to change the use of a building. The consent holder should seek an independent report from a suitably qualified person addressing the potential change of use of the building as described in the Building Act and Building (Specified Systems, Change the Use, and Earthquake-prone Buildings) Regulations 2005, and provide written notice to Council as appropriate.

#### Waste Management

3. Waste management is addressed under the Council's Solid Waste Bylaw 2016. The bylaw has a general requirement for a waste management and minimisation plan to be prepared for multiunit developments: 'Collection from Multi Unit Developments' (See Subpart 6 – Clause 20).

#### Right of Objection

4. If you are dissatisfied with any aspect of the decision, you have a right of objection to Council under section 357A of the Resource Management Act 1991. Please advise Council in writing stating the reasons for the objection and the preferred outcome within 15 working days of receiving this decision. If no objection is received it will be assumed that the applicant accepts this decision. In addition, there is a right of appeal to the Environment Court under section 120 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

#### Monitoring of Conditions

- 5. Fulfilment of the conditions of this consent within the timeframe specified in the consent is necessary to carry out the proposal for which this consent relates. Your progress towards satisfying the conditions of consent will be monitored by Council's Monitoring and Compliance Officer.
- 6. Please contact Council's Compliance & Regulatory Team (<u>RMACompliance@rotorualc.nz</u>) in relation to the completion and monitoring of the conditions of this consent. The consent holder will be charged for the administration, monitoring and supervision of this resource consent. Notwithstanding the above, where there is good and reasonable cause for unprogrammed monitoring and additional site inspections, the costs of that will be a charge on the consent holder. Such costs are recovered on an actual and reasonable basis as defined in the General Conditions and Notes of the Fees and Charges Schedule as approved by the Council in terms of Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

#### **Augier Conditions**

7. Where an applicant gives a clear and unequivocal undertaking and, relying on that undertaking, the local authority grants consent subject to a condition in terms broad enough to embrace the undertaking, the applicant cannot say later that there is no power to require compliance with the undertaking. The consent holder cannot assert after consent being granted that the condition was unlawfully imposed. This is called an "Augier" condition.